

## Janet Lunceford - Re: The Crane

---

**From:** "Peter Battuello" <pbattuello@parametrix.com>  
**To:** <jlunceford@ci.bremerton.wa.us>  
**Date:** 02/12/2002 5:07 PM  
**Subject:** Re: The Crane  
**CC:** "Ken Brown" <kbrown@parametrix.com>

---

Janet:

The crane is only 15% on the property and the boat that is "slung" is not on at all. Therefore, these should be off-limits under this new scenario.

Good luck in court.

PETER

>>> "Janet Lunceford" <jlunceford@ci.bremerton.wa.us> 02/12/02 11:44AM >>>

Just heard from Jane today; the commissioner for the Court of Appeals has ruled that the Seskos can appeal Judge Haberly's final order. Jane is filing a Motion for reconsideration, but we're looking at at least 4 weeks and maybe longer before we'll know. Anyway, I told Paul that we will probably not do anything else at Arsenal (other than remove the two piles of debris currently on the site) until this issue is settled. He is going to give me a demob and remob cost so we can determine if it's cheaper to let them go on to another project and bring them back in a couple of months or pay standby time. I suspect they'll be demobilizing.

Jane thinks we should finish the Pennsylvania site as soon as possible, before Sesko files another appeal on that order, but she doesn't think we should take the crane if it is not mostly on the Sesko property. Paul says the boat is "unslung" so they could take it, but I need your opinion on what percentage of the crane is on the Sesko property and what percentage is on DNR property. Let me know. Thanks.

By the way, we can't get a check out to BRC until next week and we must have the request to Accounts Payable by Friday to make that schedule.